

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,235	11/24/2003	Demetrius Calvin Ham	166p-Ham-Divisional-1	6680
75	90 03/31/2005		EXAM	INER
The Law Office of Craig W. Barber			ENGLE, PATRICIA LYNN	
PO Box 16220 Golden, CO 8	0402-6004			PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/ W				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/ 1				
Office Action Summers	10/722,235	HAM, DEMETRIU	S CALVIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Patricia L Engle	3612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of t riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
	·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	,						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withen 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		. 1				
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 27 November 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b) the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/03.	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/722,235

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "15" has been used to designate both stopping pin and flat area. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: stopping pin 15.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,235 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lecomte (US Patent 3,589,069).

Regarding claim 1, Lecomte discloses a method of opening a vehicle door in a doorway of a vehicle body, the method comprising: rotating such vehicle door in a horizontal plane of motion until such vehicle door substantially clears such vehicle body (Fig. 2, step I to II-although step I to II does not show pivoting motion since Step V shows pivoting motion, it is inherent to the motion between step I and II); and then rotating such vehicle door in a vertical plane of motion until such vehicle door substantially clears such door way (Fig. 2 step II to III).

Art Unit: 3612

Regarding claim 2, Lecomte discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising: providing a chassis mounting plate (5) securely fastened to such vehicle frame (6); providing a swing arm (31,29,24) securely fastened to such vehicle door (1 at 32); providing a bi-directional rotation mechanism allowing motion of the door in a first horizontal plane and a second vertical plane (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 3, Lecomte discloses the method of claim 2, wherein the bi-directional rotation mechanism prevents the motion of the door in the second vertical plane when the door has not fully completed motion in the first horizontal plane (38).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lecomte.

Regarding claim 4-6, Lecomte discloses a vehicle door hinge that includes a bidirectional rotation mechanism. Lecomte does not disclose that the door is retro-fitted on a vehicle frame. However, Lecomte discloses that the hinge is connected to the door then connected to the door frame and then the biasing means attached. The Examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to retrofit the door of Lecomte to another vehicle door frame because the hinge is connected to the frame by bolts 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,235

Art Unit: 3612

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The prior art discloses other bi-directional hinges for doors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Patricia L Engle whose telephone number is (703) 306-5777.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, D. Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L Engle **Primary Examiner** Page 5

Art Unit 3612

ple

March 17, 2005